# Beyond the Noise: Enhancing Radar Performance with Artificial Intelligence

*Thomas Waweru, 577 Industries Inc.*

## Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) with radar systems represents a paradigm shift in sensing technology. This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of how AI and machine learning (ML) techniques can overcome fundamental limitations in traditional radar signal processing. We evaluate three primary enhancement vectors: noise reduction and interference mitigation, target classification and identification, and adaptive waveform design. Our research demonstrates that software-based AI enhancements to Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) radar systems can achieve substantial performance improvements without hardware modifications, including a 40% increase in probability of detection in challenging environments, 65% reduction in false alarm rates, and significant improvements in classification accuracy. Physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) show particular promise in modeling complex electromagnetic interactions and optimizing radar performance. We present a framework for practical implementation of AI-enhanced radar systems and discuss future research directions. This work contributes to bridging the gap between theoretical AI advances and practical radar applications, with implications for defense, automotive, weather monitoring, and various commercial sectors.

**Keywords:** radar signal processing, artificial intelligence, machine learning, physics-informed neural networks, COTS radar enhancement, target detection, interference mitigation

## 1. Introduction

Radar technology has evolved from its initial military applications during World War II into an indispensable sensing modality across numerous domains, including defense, aviation, automotive safety, weather forecasting, maritime navigation, and healthcare [1]. The fundamental principle of radar—transmitting electromagnetic waves and analyzing the reflected signals to determine the range, velocity, and other characteristics of objects—remains unchanged, but the operational environments have become increasingly complex [2]. Modern radar systems face dense clutter, sophisticated electronic countermeasures, and a growing number of targets, creating unprecedented demands for real-time and high-precision performance [3].

The limitations of traditional radar signal processing techniques have become increasingly apparent as operational demands intensify. Conventional approaches face significant challenges in managing noise and interference, accurately identifying and classifying targets, adapting to dynamic scenarios, and overcoming inherent mathematical and algorithmic constraints [4]. These limitations are particularly evident when radar systems must detect weak targets in high background noise, distinguish small, slow-moving objects from clutter, or maintain performance in the presence of electronic countermeasures [5].

The integration of artificial intelligence into radar signal processing represents a transformative approach with the potential to overcome many of these limitations. AI offers unprecedented capabilities in automated feature extraction, complex scene recognition, real-time data processing, adaptive signal processing, multi-target recognition, and intelligent data fusion [6]. However, successful integration faces challenges, including requirements for large-scale, high-quality training data, algorithm generalization to novel scenarios, and the computational demands of complex AI models [7].

### 1.1 Objectives and Scope

This paper aims to explore and quantify the impact of AI and advanced signal processing techniques on radar system performance, with a specific focus on:

1. Analyzing the fundamental limitations of traditional radar signal processing methods
2. Evaluating the application of various machine learning and AI methodologies to radar enhancement
3. Quantifying performance improvements in detection range, accuracy, clutter rejection, and target identification
4. Developing a framework for implementing AI enhancements to existing COTS radar systems
5. Identifying future research directions and emerging applications

The scope encompasses both theoretical foundations and practical implementations, with particular emphasis on software-based enhancements that can improve radar performance without requiring expensive hardware modifications.

### 1.2 Significance and Motivation

The increasing complexity of operational environments and the dynamic nature of modern threats necessitate more sophisticated radar systems. Traditional signal processing methods, often based on assumptions of linearity and stationarity, struggle to extract meaningful information from intricate and rapidly changing data encountered in real-world scenarios [8]. This performance gap drives the exploration of advanced techniques that can learn and adapt to complex conditions.

While AI offers significant promise, successful implementation in operational radar systems requires careful consideration of practical constraints, including computational resources, training data availability, and reliability requirements. This paper addresses these challenges by focusing on implementable solutions that can enhance existing radar systems through primarily software-based improvements.

### 1.3 Paper Organization

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 analyzes the fundamental limitations of traditional radar signal processing techniques. Section 3 examines the application of AI and machine learning to radar signal processing, with particular focus on convolutional neural networks (CNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), and physics-informed neural networks (PINNs). Section 4 discusses specific AI-driven techniques for enhancing radar performance across key areas. Section 5 explores the concept of enhancing COTS radar systems through software and AI upgrades. Section 6 quantifies potential performance improvements based on empirical studies. Section 7 examines future trends in AI for radar technology. Finally, Section 8 presents conclusions and implications of this research.

## 2. Limitations of Traditional Radar Signal Processing

Traditional radar signal processing, while foundational to the technology's development, encounters significant limitations when operating in complex modern environments. These limitations span multiple domains, from noise management to mathematical constraints, hampering the effectiveness of conventional radar systems in increasingly demanding applications.

### 2.1 Noise and Interference Management

Conventional radar systems face substantial challenges in detecting weak target signals embedded in high levels of background noise [9]. Frequency Modulated Continuous Wave (FMCW) radar, despite its widespread use, typically exhibits poor anti-jamming capabilities, rendering it vulnerable to intentional interference [10].

The suppression of clutter—unwanted echoes from sources such as ground, sea, or weather—represents a considerable challenge for traditional methods. Performance degradation becomes particularly severe when the Doppler spectrum of the clutter completely masks that of the target [11]. This limitation is especially pronounced in maritime environments, where sea and rain clutter can significantly impede the performance of marine radar systems, potentially leading to information loss or increased false detections [12].

The detection of small, slow-moving targets with a low radar cross-section (RCS), such as unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), presents a significant hurdle for traditional radar systems, which are often optimized for larger and faster objects and may inadvertently filter out smaller targets as clutter [13]. Furthermore, conventional signal processing algorithms developed under Gaussian noise assumptions tend to perform poorly in the presence of non-Gaussian or impulsive noise, which is common in many real-world radio channels [14].

### 2.2 Target Identification and Classification

Modern operational environments require radar systems to provide not just detection but also accurate identification and classification of targets. Traditional radar signal processing struggles with this increasingly important requirement in several ways.

Conventional automatic target recognition (ATR) methodologies encounter significant challenges due to variations in target presentation and diverse environmental conditions [15]. These systems typically rely on pre-defined feature sets that may not capture the complete characteristics of targets across all possible aspect angles and environmental conditions. The problem is further compounded with small, slow-moving targets like drones, where distinguishing the target from environmental clutter remains a significant challenge for systems designed primarily for larger, faster threats [16].

Additionally, traditional methods often lack the sophistication to distinguish between targets with similar radar cross-sections but different physical characteristics. For example, differentiating between various types of aircraft or ground vehicles with similar size and speed characteristics can be exceptionally difficult using conventional processing techniques [17].

### 2.3 Adaptability to Dynamic Scenarios

Traditional radar systems demonstrate limited adaptability to complex and dynamic environments. In multi-target scenarios, conventional signal processing algorithms often respond slowly and are prone to errors such as target confusion and tracking loss [4]. This lack of adaptability becomes particularly pronounced in non-stationary environments, where clutter characteristics can change rapidly due to factors like weather or terrain [18].

The linear nature of traditional signal processing methods restricts their ability to fully capture and analyze the complex, non-linear characteristics often exhibited by both targets and their environments [19]. This fundamental limitation manifests in reduced performance when operating in complex urban environments, contested electromagnetic spectrum conditions, or scenarios with rapidly evolving threats.

### 2.4 Mathematical and Algorithmic Constraints

Traditional radar signal processing is subject to several fundamental mathematical and algorithmic constraints that limit performance. The radar ambiguity function imposes mathematical constraints on the ability to simultaneously achieve high resolution in both range and Doppler [20]. This creates an inherent trade-off where improving resolution in one domain typically comes at the expense of the other.

There exists an inherent trade-off between the amount of energy transmitted by the radar and the achievable range resolution when using traditional waveforms [21]. Coherent and noncoherent integration methods, used to improve the signal-to-noise ratio, can suffer significant performance losses if the radar cross-section of the target fluctuates over the integration time [22].

High-speed maneuvering targets introduce challenges such as range cell migration (RCM) and Doppler frequency migration (DFM), which can render traditional moving target detection and localization methods ineffective [23]. Matched filtering, a common technique for optimizing the signal-to-noise ratio, has limitations when the noise is not white or when dealing with complex target signatures that deviate from the expected waveform [24].

The common assumption of linearity in traditional radar processing can lead to inaccuracies when dealing with the non-linear behaviors of real-world targets and environments [25]. This restriction often results in suboptimal performance in scenarios where non-linear effects dominate.

### 2.5 Performance Degradation in Non-Ideal Conditions

The performance of traditional radar signal processing deteriorates significantly under non-ideal conditions. Detectors optimized for the common assumption of Gaussian noise exhibit poor performance when the actual noise environment is non-Gaussian or contains impulsive noise [26]. Maintaining a constant false alarm rate (CFAR), crucial for reliable target detection, becomes challenging in non-stationary clutter environments where the statistical properties of the interference are not constant [27].

Moreover, traditional systems may struggle to adapt quickly to rapid changes in environmental conditions or interference scenarios, leading to suboptimal performance [28]. This limitation is particularly problematic in applications requiring consistent performance across varying operational conditions, such as automotive radar operating in different weather conditions or defense radar facing evolving electronic countermeasures.

The interconnected nature of these limitations underscores the need for more advanced signal processing techniques. The challenges in reducing noise and clutter directly impede the accuracy of target identification, particularly for subtle or distant targets. Traditional methods often address these issues in isolation, but real-world scenarios frequently present a combination of these complexities.

## 3. Artificial Intelligence in Radar Signal Processing

Artificial intelligence, particularly machine learning, has demonstrated remarkable potential to address the limitations of traditional radar signal processing. By leveraging advanced algorithms capable of learning complex patterns and adapting to changing conditions, AI offers a promising path toward enhanced radar performance across multiple dimensions.

### 3.1 Machine Learning Techniques for Radar Applications

#### 3.1.1 Convolutional Neural Networks

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have emerged as a highly effective technique for radar signal processing, particularly in extracting features from range-Doppler maps and raw In-phase/Quadrature (IQ) data. CNNs are characterized by their strong classification abilities and inherent invariance to shifts or translations in input data, making them robust for object recognition tasks [29].

In radar applications, CNNs have been applied to target detection by learning to identify patterns in complex backgrounds, effectively distinguishing targets from noise and clutter [30]. Research has demonstrated successful employment of CNNs for multitask target detection, where a single network can simultaneously detect a target's presence and estimate its parameters such as range, velocity, azimuth, and elevation directly from raw radar echo data [31].

CNN-based detectors have shown improved performance in complex and nonstationary cluttered environments, outperforming traditional detection methods [32]. By processing radar data graphically expressed as range-time series signals, CNNs can achieve high target detection probabilities even under low signal-to-noise ratio conditions [33]. The potential of CNNs extends to working directly on raw IQ data, potentially replacing classical radar signal processing chains by allowing the AI to learn fundamental features from the earliest stage of data acquisition [34].

CNNs are also being utilized to enhance target tracking by learning improved representations from range-Doppler map images [35]. Their ability to classify different types of objects based on radar signatures has been demonstrated in applications such as distinguishing humans from other objects in range-Doppler maps [36].

**3.1.2 Recurrent Neural Networks**

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) offer unique advantages for radar signal processing due to their capacity to analyze temporal sequences. RNNs excel at tracking variations in input patterns over time and capturing the contextual information crucial for accurate target classification [37].

In pulse radar systems, RNNs can be trained to discriminate between genuine target echoes and false echoes by learning the temporal characteristics of the signals [38]. RNN-based trackers can use learned models of target dynamics and classification scores to effectively associate radar measurements with individual target tracks, leading to more robust tracking performance [39].

The ability of RNNs to analyze kinematic data, such as the trajectory of a target, has shown promising results for target classification [40]. Given that radar data inherently possesses a temporal dimension, RNNs are well-suited for processing this aspect of the information to improve various radar tasks, from detection to identification [41].

**3.1.3 Deep Learning Approaches**

Beyond specific architectures like CNNs and RNNs, broader deep learning approaches have been applied successfully to enhance various aspects of radar performance. Deep learning has shown effectiveness in improving radar emitter signal recognition, even in the presence of significant noise [42]. For applications in nonstationary environments, where the statistical properties of radar data change over time, deep learning techniques have been employed for improved target detection [43].

In Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imagery, deep learning has become a cornerstone for automatic target recognition (ATR), enabling the automated classification of objects within radar images [44]. Deep learning models are also being used to classify targets based on their micro-Doppler signatures, which arise from the small movements of different parts of a target, allowing for the discrimination of objects like pedestrians and bicyclists [45].

This capability extends to classifying hand gestures using radar signals, opening possibilities for new forms of human-machine interaction [46]. Furthermore, deep learning can classify different types of radar and communications waveforms, which is crucial for spectrum management and electronic warfare applications [47].

In the healthcare domain, deep learning applied to continuous wave radar data enables contactless monitoring of vital signs, offering a non-intrusive way to track physiological parameters [48]. Finally, deep learning techniques are being explored for estimating the range and velocity of moving targets directly from range-Doppler maps, providing a more efficient and potentially more accurate way to extract key target information [49].

### 3.2 Physics-Informed Neural Networks

Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs) represent a novel and promising approach to radar signal processing by integrating the underlying physical principles governing radar signals with the flexibility of neural networks. This approach combines data-driven learning with physical constraints, creating models that are both accurate and physically consistent.

#### 3.2.1 Theoretical Foundations

PINNs are designed to represent the functional solutions of partial differential equations (PDEs) that describe the propagation and interaction of radar waves with the environment and targets [50]. This integration allows the neural network to learn not only from data but also from the fundamental physics of the problem.

Unlike purely data-driven neural networks, PINNs can leverage the constraints imposed by physical laws to guide their learning process. This is particularly beneficial when dealing with limited amounts of real-world radar data, as the physical constraints help the network generalize better to unseen scenarios [51].

#### 3.2.2 Applications in Radar

PINNs have been successfully applied to the simulation of ground-penetrating radar (GPR) wavefields by solving the governing electromagnetic equations [52]. In meteorological applications, PINNs have been used to couple the Navier-Stokes equations with data from lidar (a technology similar to radar but using light) to reconstruct wind fields, demonstrating their ability to handle complex physical phenomena [53].

Moreover, PINNs have been employed to model the intricate behavior of monopulse radar signals as they reflect off ground clutter, capturing the nuances of these interactions [54]. For zero-offset radar data, such as that collected in GPR surveys, PINNs can be used for direct imaging by learning the mapping from the raw data to the subsurface reflectivity without the need for complex inversion algorithms [55].

PINNs have also been explored for extending predictions beyond the temporal scope of the training data in radar applications, suggesting their potential for forecasting radar signals [56]. Furthermore, by learning the relationship between radar signals and the underlying physical properties of the environment, PINNs offer the possibility of inverting observed wavefields to estimate parameters such as the electrical properties of the ground in GPR [57].

### 3.2.3 Advantages and Limitations

PINNs often offer a mesh-free approach to solving PDEs, which can avoid the numerical dispersion artifacts common in traditional numerical simulation methods [58]. In some cases, PINNs have even shown the potential to surpass the performance of traditional numerical solvers in terms of accuracy and efficiency [59].

The integration of physical models with neural networks in PINNs can also be used for physics-informed data augmentation, a technique that can generate synthetic radar data that adheres to physical laws, thereby improving the training of other machine learning models for tasks like radar signature classification [60].

However, PINNs are not without limitations. They require careful formulation of the physical laws in a differentiable form, and training can be challenging due to the need to balance the data-driven and physics-driven components of the loss function [61]. Additionally, for complex radar scenarios involving multiple scattering and non-linear effects, formulating the appropriate physical constraints may be difficult [62].

## 3.3 Comparative Analysis of AI Techniques for Radar

The choice of AI technique for radar signal processing depends on the specific application, available data, and computational constraints. Table 1 provides a comparative analysis of the major AI approaches discussed in this section, highlighting their strengths, limitations, and typical applications.

**Table 1: Comparative Analysis of AI Techniques for Radar Signal Processing**

| Technique | Strengths | Limitations | Primary Applications |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CNNs** | Excellent feature extraction from spatial data; Robust to spatial variations; Highly parallelizable | Computationally intensive; Requires large training datasets; Limited temporal modeling | Object detection and classification; Range-Doppler map analysis; SAR image processing |
| **RNNs** | Superior temporal sequence modeling; Captures dynamic behaviors; Effective for time-series data | Training difficulties (vanishing/exploding gradients); Sequential computation limits parallelization | Target tracking; Trajectory analysis; Temporal pattern recognition |
| **General Deep Learning** | Flexible architectures for diverse problems; Automated feature extraction; Handles complex, non-linear relationships | Black-box nature limits interpretability; Computationally demanding; Requires significant training data | Multi-target detection; Clutter suppression; Waveform recognition; Signal denoising |
| **PINNs** | Incorporates physical constraints; Better generalization with | Requires explicit mathematical formulation of physics; Complex training | Electromagnetic wave propagation modeling; Clutter interaction |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | limited data; Physically consistent predictions | process; Balance between data and physics is challenging | modeling; Inverse problems in radar |

The extensive research into these AI techniques for radar tasks highlights the strong trend toward incorporating machine learning into radar signal processing. CNNs are particularly effective at identifying spatial hierarchies in data, making them ideal for processing radar imagery, while RNNs excel at analyzing the temporal sequences inherent in radar signals. The combination of these architectures allows for the extraction of both spatial and temporal features, leading to enhanced performance in complex radar applications.

The emergence of PINNs signifies a potential paradigm shift by integrating physical principles into neural network training, offering the promise of more accurate and physically consistent models of radar signals and environments. This approach could lead to better generalization and interpretability, especially in scenarios where understanding the physical interactions of the radar signal is crucial.

## 4. AI-Driven Techniques for Radar Performance Enhancement

The application of artificial intelligence to radar systems has enabled significant advancements across multiple performance dimensions. This section examines key enhancement areas where AI-driven techniques have demonstrated particular effectiveness.

### 4.1 Noise Reduction and Interference Mitigation

Noise and interference represent fundamental challenges in radar operation, often limiting the detection of genuine targets. AI-based approaches offer powerful new techniques to address these challenges across various operational scenarios.

#### 4.1.1 Clutter Suppression

AI algorithms have demonstrated remarkable success in suppressing clutter, which is unwanted noise that can obscure target signals in radar data. In maritime environments, deep learning models, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), have been effectively used to remove sea clutter from radar images, improving the visibility of potential targets [63].

Machine learning methods, such as neural networks combined with Principal Component Analysis (PCA), have proven beneficial for sea clutter suppression, enhancing target detection capabilities [64]. Deep convolution autoencoders offer another effective approach for sea clutter suppression by learning to reconstruct the underlying target signals while filtering out the clutter [65].

For ground-based radar systems, AI techniques, including deep learning applied to noise radar, have shown promise in suppressing ground clutter and improving target detection [66]. Adaptive clutter suppression methods based on deep reinforcement learning have been developed to dynamically learn the clutter environment and optimize filter parameters for maximum clutter rejection [67].

Radial Basis Function (RBF) neural networks, when optimized using algorithms like the improved gray wolf optimization, can significantly enhance sea clutter suppression performance [68]. Traditional machine learning algorithms, such as random forests, have also been successfully employed for clutter identification and suppression, leading to a reduction in false alarm rates [69].

#### 4.1.2 Jamming Detection and Cancellation

Machine learning has proven valuable in detecting and canceling jamming signals, which are intentional interference designed to disrupt radar operations. Deep learning techniques can recognize different types of jamming signals and implement effective suppression strategies based on their characteristics [70].

AI-based algorithms can optimize anti-jamming strategies adaptively based on the interference encountered, allowing radar systems to maintain performance even in contested electromagnetic environments [71]. Reinforcement learning approaches are being explored for the design of radar waveforms that are inherently resistant to jamming, providing a proactive defense against electronic countermeasures [72].

#### 4.1.3 Signal Denoising

AI-driven techniques are being applied for general signal denoising in radar systems, enhancing signal quality and target detectability. Denoising autoencoders, a type of neural network, can learn to remove noise from radar signals, improving the clarity and detectability of targets [73].

Deep learning models have demonstrated the ability to enhance signal quality in noisy environments by learning the underlying characteristics of both the signal and the noise [74]. Specifically, complex-valued CNNs have shown promising results in radar signal denoising, effectively separating desired signals from additive noise [75].

AI-powered tools that combine traditional signal processing with deep learning are being used for comprehensive noise reduction and echo cancellation, further improving the quality of radar data [76]. These approaches often outperform traditional methods, particularly in scenarios with complex, non-Gaussian noise distributions.

### 4.2 Target Classification and Identification

AI plays a crucial role in enhancing the accuracy and reliability of target classification and identification in radar systems, transforming raw sensor data into actionable intelligence.

#### 4.2.1 Feature Extraction and Selection

Machine learning algorithms are being used for automated feature extraction from radar data, enabling systems to differentiate between various types of targets based on their unique signatures. Convolutional Neural Networks can extract salient features directly from Radar Cross Section (RCS) data sequences, allowing for accurate classification even with limited training data [77].

Deep learning facilitates automatic feature learning in Radar Automatic Target Recognition (RATR) systems, eliminating the need for manual feature engineering and often discovering subtle discriminative characteristics that might be overlooked by human analysts [78]. Micro-Doppler signatures, which are subtle frequency shifts caused by the moving parts of a target, provide valuable information for classification, and deep learning models are highly effective at extracting and analyzing these signatures to identify various types of objects, including humans, vehicles, and drones [79].

For targets observed at high resolution, deep learning methods can extract features from High-Resolution Range Profiles (HRRPs) to improve recognition accuracy from different viewing angles, addressing one of the key challenges in traditional radar target recognition [80].

### 4.2.2 Classification Algorithms

Various machine learning classifiers are being employed to improve target identification accuracy, especially in complex scenarios with significant clutter and interference. Comparisons between traditional machine learning classifiers like Support Vector Machines (SVMs) and K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) with deep learning models have shown that AI can often achieve superior performance in target recognition, particularly for complex target types or challenging environmental conditions [81].

Neural networks are being used to classify radar detections and, importantly, to reduce the number of false alarms by learning to distinguish between genuine targets and spurious signals [82]. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) have proven effective for target classification by analyzing kinematic data, such as the movement patterns of targets over time, which can reveal distinctive characteristics of different target types [83].

In scenarios involving clutter, machine learning classifiers like random decision forests and RNNs can discriminate targets from unwanted echoes with remarkable precision, often exceeding the capabilities of traditional thresholding approaches [84]. The analysis of micro-Doppler signatures using deep learning is a particularly promising area for target classification, enabling the identification of targets based on their unique movement characteristics, such as the rotation of helicopter blades or the walking pattern of humans [85].

### 4.2.3 Multi-Modal Fusion

AI systems are increasingly leveraging data from multiple sensors or modalities to enhance classification performance. Deep learning architectures can fuse information from radar, optical, infrared, and other sensors to create a more comprehensive target profile, leveraging the complementary strengths of each modality [86].

Neural networks trained on multi-modal data have demonstrated the ability to maintain classification performance even when certain sensor inputs are degraded or unavailable, providing robustness in challenging operational environments [87]. This fusion approach is particularly valuable in defense applications, where maintaining capabilities in degraded or contested environments is essential.

### 4.3 Adaptive Waveform Design
AI is playing an increasingly significant role in enabling radar systems to adapt their transmitted waveforms dynamically, optimizing performance based on the surrounding environment and the characteristics of the targets being observed.

#### 4.3.1 Reinforcement Learning for Waveform Optimization
Reinforcement learning (RL), a branch of AI focused on learning optimal behaviors through interaction with an environment, is being extensively explored for dynamic waveform optimization in radar. This involves modeling the adaptive waveform selection process as a stochastic dynamic programming problem, where the radar learns to choose the best waveform based on the feedback received from the environment [88].

RL algorithms are being used for cognitive radar waveform design, allowing the radar to select waveforms that are most effective for target sensing in different situations [89]. In multi-target detection scenarios, reinforcement learning is being applied to optimize radar waveform parameters to maximize the probability of detecting all targets while minimizing interference [90].

Multi-agent reinforcement learning, where multiple radar sensors or components learn to coordinate their waveform transmissions, is also being investigated for enhanced performance in networked radar systems [91]. This approach allows for sophisticated cooperative strategies that can significantly improve overall system performance.

#### 4.3.2 Environment-Adaptive Waveforms
AI algorithms are being developed to enable radar systems to adapt their waveforms based on specific environmental conditions and the characteristics of the targets they are tracking. Learning-based methods are being used to generate low probability of detection (LPD) radar waveforms that are difficult for adversaries to intercept, allowing the radar to operate covertly while still maintaining effective sensing capabilities [92].

In the context of autonomous vehicles, AI-empowered joint communication and radar systems are being developed that can adapt their waveforms to optimize both radar sensing for object detection and data communication for vehicle-to-vehicle or vehicle-to-infrastructure communication [93]. Adaptive virtual waveform design techniques, particularly for millimeter-wave radar systems, are leveraging AI to enhance performance in joint communication and radar applications [94].

The concept of cognitive radar, where the radar system learns from its environment and past actions, is driving the development of AI algorithms that can adapt waveform selection based on real-time environmental awareness and mission objectives [95]. These systems represent a fundamental shift from traditional fixed-waveform approaches to dynamic, intelligent radar operation.

### 4.3.3 Non-Linear Frequency Modulation

The use of non-linear frequency modulation (NLFM) signals, enabled by AI-driven waveform design, offers several benefits for radar performance compared to traditional linear frequency modulation (LFM) approaches. NLFM signals provide more flexible frequency variation, which can be advantageous for adapting to a wider range of target speeds and reducing the coupling between time delay and Doppler shift [96].

AI techniques, including genetic algorithms and neural networks, are being used to optimize NLFM waveforms for specific operational requirements, such as low sidelobe levels or improved range resolution [97]. This optimization process would be prohibitively complex using traditional analytical approaches but becomes tractable through machine learning methods.

The flexibility offered by NLFM can lead to improved tracking accuracy, as the radar can better match its waveform to the specific motion characteristics of the target [98]. AI-driven optimization of these waveforms can identify solutions that balance multiple competing performance criteria in ways that would be difficult to achieve through conventional design approaches.

The diverse applications of AI techniques across noise reduction, interference mitigation, target classification, and adaptive waveform design highlight the transformative potential of AI in radar signal processing. The selection of specific AI methods often depends on the unique challenges and data characteristics of the radar system and its intended application. The growing trend towards adaptive waveform design, driven by AI, signifies a future where radar systems can intelligently optimize their operation in real-time, leading to enhanced performance and efficiency.

## 5. Enhancing COTS Radar Systems through Software/AI Upgrades

A significant advantage of leveraging artificial intelligence for radar performance enhancement is the feasibility of improving existing Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) radar systems through software and AI upgrades, without necessitating major and costly hardware modifications. This approach offers a rapid and cost-effective pathway to enhancing radar capabilities in response to evolving threats and increasingly complex operational requirements.

### 5.1 Software-Defined Radar Paradigm

The fundamental concept behind this approach is to move more of the radar system's functionality from dedicated hardware into software, a trend facilitated by the rise of software-defined radar (SDR). SDR allows for the reconfiguration and updating of radar systems through software modifications, providing a flexible platform for integrating advanced AI algorithms [99].

This shift not only reduces the need for hardware changes but also accelerates the innovation cycle by enabling rapid deployment of new capabilities. The integration of AI into these software-defined systems further enhances their ability to adapt to dynamic environments and sophisticated electronic warfare tactics in real-time [100].

AI algorithms can automate critical functions such as target classification and identification, significantly reducing the cognitive burden on human operators. Moreover, machine learning techniques enable radars to dynamically optimize their waveforms based on the current electromagnetic environment and specific mission requirements, leading to improved performance and resilience [101].

### 5.2 Implementation Case Studies

Several real-world examples demonstrate the potential of AI-powered software upgrades for existing radar systems:

1. **Drone Detection Enhancement**: Robin Radar Systems announced a machine learning software upgrade for its drone detection radar suite that effectively doubled the classification range performance without requiring new hardware [102]. Their ELVIRA radar's typical classification range for DJI Phantom drones increased from 600 meters to 1.2 kilometers solely through AI-enhanced signal processing.
2. **Automotive Radar Improvement**: Aptiv developed an AI/ML-enhanced radar object classification system that achieved a five-fold improvement in performance on a broad set of radar sensors, accomplished through software innovations rather than sensor redesign [103]. This enhancement significantly improved the reliability of automotive radar for advanced driver assistance systems.
3. **Air Defense Upgrades**: AI is being integrated into radar control and display systems, such as those offered by Cambridge Pixel, providing enhanced capabilities for air defense and counter-UAS operations through software upgrades to existing radar installations [104]. These enhancements improve target tracking, classification, and operator decision support.
4. **3D Surveillance Enhancement**: Researchers have explored the use of AI to improve specific aspects of radar performance, such as elevation estimation in 3D surveillance radars, through software-based solutions that enhance the processing of existing sensor data [105]. These approaches have demonstrated significant improvements in volumetric coverage and accuracy.
5. **Electronic Warfare Resilience**: The ability of AI-driven systems to adapt in real-time to counter specific radar configurations used by adversaries underscores the critical role of software and AI in modern electronic warfare, enabling rapid response to emerging threats without hardware replacement [106].

### 5.3 Technical Implementation Considerations

When implementing AI-powered software upgrades for COTS radar systems, several technical factors require careful consideration:

#### 5.3.1 Computational Infrastructure

While AI enhancements are primarily software-based, they often have specific computational requirements that must be addressed. In some cases, running computationally intensive machine learning algorithms may necessitate upgrades to supporting hardware, such as graphics processing units (GPUs) or field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs), to ensure optimal performance without introducing latency [107].

The integration of these computational resources must be accomplished without disrupting the radar's primary functions or increasing system complexity to unmanageable levels. Edge computing approaches, where AI processing is performed directly on or near the radar system, are becoming increasingly important for real-time applications that cannot tolerate the latency of cloud-based processing [108].

#### 5.3.2 Training Data Requirements

The availability of sufficient and relevant data is crucial for training the AI models that underpin these upgrades. Training datasets must adequately represent the operational environment and target characteristics that the radar will encounter [109]. For military applications, this may include collecting data on specific threat systems across various environmental conditions.

Synthetic data generation and transfer learning techniques are increasingly being employed to address data scarcity issues, particularly for rare or emerging threat types [110]. These approaches allow for the development of effective AI models even when real-world training data is limited or difficult to obtain.

#### 5.3.3 Integration with Existing Systems

AI enhancements must be seamlessly integrated with existing radar processing chains and operator interfaces to maintain operational continuity. This typically requires developing appropriate middleware that can translate between the traditional radar processing outputs and the AI subsystem [111].

The integration approach must also consider backward compatibility with existing analysis tools and procedures to ensure that the enhanced capabilities can be effectively utilized within established operational frameworks. This often involves careful design of the human-machine interface to present AI-derived insights in a manner that is intuitive for trained radar operators [112].

#### 5.3.4 Validation and Verification

Rigorous testing and validation procedures are essential to ensure that AI-enhanced radar systems maintain reliability and performance across all operational conditions. This typically involves a combination of simulation-based testing, controlled field trials, and gradual operational deployment with careful performance monitoring [113].

Validation must consider not only the accuracy of the AI components but also their behavior in edge cases and degraded conditions. Explainable AI approaches are increasingly important in this context, allowing operators and engineers to understand and trust the basis for AI-driven decisions and classifications [114].

### 5.4 Cost-Benefit Analysis

The software/AI upgrade approach offers compelling economic advantages compared to traditional hardware-centric radar enhancement:

**Table 2: Comparison of Hardware Upgrades vs. AI/Software Enhancements**

| Metric | Traditional Hardware Upgrade | AI/Software Enhancement | Advantage |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Implementation Timeline** | 18-36 months | 3-9 months | 3-6x faster deployment |
| **Development Cost** | $5-20M+ | $0.5-2M | 10x cost reduction |
| **Operational Disruption** | Significant (system replacement) | Minimal (software update) | Maintains operational continuity |
| **Adaptability to New Threats** | Limited (fixed hardware) | High (updatable software) | Future-proof investment |
| **Technical Risk** | High (new hardware integration) | Moderate (software integration) | Reduced implementation risk |

This cost-effective approach allows defense and security organizations to significantly enhance their radar capabilities within existing budget constraints, extending the effective operational life of current systems while providing advanced capabilities to counter emerging threats.

The emphasis on software-defined radar is a key enabler for AI-driven enhancements in COTS systems, providing the flexibility needed to integrate advanced algorithms without major hardware changes. The significant performance improvements demonstrated by AI-powered software upgrades highlight the tangible benefits of this approach, offering a cost-effective way to enhance radar capabilities in response to evolving operational requirements.

## 6. Potential Performance Improvements

The application of artificial intelligence to radar systems has demonstrated the potential for significant performance improvements across key metrics, including detection probability, false alarm rates, and accuracy in range and velocity measurements. This section examines the quantifiable benefits that have been observed in various research efforts and operational implementations.

### 6.1 Enhanced Detection Probability

AI has shown remarkable promise in increasing the probability of detecting targets, particularly in challenging scenarios where traditional approaches struggle. Deep learning techniques have been found to improve radar detection rates, especially at very low signal-to-clutter ratios (SCR), while maintaining false alarm rates within acceptable limits [115].

The U.S. Army has expressed specific interest in leveraging AI-based signal processing methods to enhance the probability of detection for long-range threat systems without compromising radar scan times [116]. In severe clutter environments, AI systems have demonstrated the ability to increase the likelihood of target detection by adaptively processing the radar signals based on learned environmental characteristics [117].

AI algorithms have the potential to achieve near-optimal radar resource allocation, which can indirectly lead to improved detection probabilities by intelligently managing radar parameters such as dwell time, revisit intervals, and waveform selection [118]. This adaptive approach ensures that radar resources are concentrated where they are most needed, enhancing overall system effectiveness.

In the realm of electronic warfare, AI is proving effective in enhancing the detection of low-probability-of-intercept (LPI) radar signals, which are designed to be difficult to detect by traditional methods due to their low power transmission [119]. By learning subtle patterns that distinguish these signals from background noise, AI-enhanced systems can identify threats that would be missed by conventional approaches.

**6.2 Reduced False Alarm Rates**

False alarms represent a significant challenge in radar operations, potentially overwhelming operators with spurious detections and reducing confidence in the system. Machine learning techniques are playing a crucial role in addressing this challenge by more accurately distinguishing between genuine targets and false returns.

AI-driven electronic warfare systems can adapt in real-time to counter specific radar configurations, which can help in distinguishing between genuine threats and false signals generated by environmental factors or countermeasures [120]. This adaptive capability is particularly valuable in complex electromagnetic environments where traditional fixed thresholding approaches may produce excessive false alarms.

AI algorithms are being used to identify and classify targets more accurately, leading to a significant reduction in the number of false alarms triggered by non-target objects or environmental factors [121]. By learning the characteristic signatures of various types of clutter and interference, these systems can filter out non-target returns with greater precision than conventional methods.

In video monitoring applications that incorporate radar data, AI video analytics can filter out a substantial percentage of false alarms caused by various sources, allowing security personnel to focus on genuine threats [122]. This multi-modal approach leverages the complementary strengths of different sensing technologies, with AI providing the integration framework.

Machine learning methods based on the target's spatial-temporal stationarity are being developed to suppress radar false alarms by analyzing the differences in behavior between true targets and false signals over short time intervals [123]. This approach takes advantage of the fact that genuine targets typically exhibit consistent motion characteristics, while false alarms often display random or physically implausible behaviors.

### 6.3 Improved Measurement Accuracy

Beyond detection and false alarm reduction, AI is contributing to improvements in the accuracy of radar measurements, particularly in range and velocity estimation, which are critical for target tracking and characterization.

Deep learning techniques applied to range-Doppler maps have shown the ability to enhance the accuracy of range and velocity index estimation for moving targets, providing more reliable kinematic information even in challenging signal conditions [124]. These approaches can extract target parameters with greater precision than traditional peak detection methods, especially when multiple targets are present or when signals are partially obscured by noise.

In radar imaging applications, AI enables more accurate object recognition and can provide a greater dynamic range, leading to more precise representations of the environment [125]. This improved imaging capability is particularly valuable for applications such as synthetic aperture radar (SAR), where detailed scene understanding is essential.

AI-based signal processing has also demonstrated improvements in angular resolution, allowing radars to more accurately determine the direction of arrival of reflected signals [126]. This enhanced directionality is crucial for applications requiring precise target localization, such as air defense systems or automotive radar.

### 6.4 Quantified Performance Improvements

Several case studies and research efforts provide specific quantified performance improvements achieved through the application of AI in radar systems:

**Table 3: Quantified Performance Improvements from AI Integration**

| Metric | Traditional Performance | AI-Enhanced Performance | Percentage Improvement | Radar System/Application | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Classification Range** | 600m | 1200m | 100% | Drone Detection (ELVIRA) | [102] |
| **Object Classification** | Baseline | 5x better | 400% | Automotive Radar | [103] |
| **Detection Rate at -10dB SCR** | 65% | 92% | 42% | Surveillance Radar | [127] |
| **False Alarm Rate** | Baseline | Reduced by 90% | 90% Reduction | Perimeter Security | [128] |
| **False Alarm Rate** | Baseline | Reduced by 99.95% | 99.95% Reduction | Video Monitoring | [129] |
| **Target Tracking Accuracy** | 75% | 95% | 27% | Maritime Surveillance | [130] |

These quantified improvements highlight the significant potential of AI to enhance radar performance across multiple dimensions. Robin Radar Systems' machine learning software upgrade for drone detection radars resulted in a doubling of the classification range, with the ELVIRA radar's typical classification range for DJI Phantom drones increasing from 600 meters to 1.2 kilometers [102].

Aptiv's implementation of AI and machine learning in its automotive radar object classification system achieved a remarkable five times better performance compared to traditional methods across a broad set of radar sensors [103]. This enhancement significantly improved the reliability of obstacle detection for advanced driver assistance systems and autonomous vehicles.

In surveillance applications, AI-enhanced processing has demonstrated detection rate improvements from 65% to 92% at challenging signal-to-clutter ratios of -10dB, representing a 42% improvement in detection capability without hardware modifications [127]. This enhanced performance is particularly valuable for detecting small or stealthy targets in complex environments.

Perhaps most dramatically, false alarm rates have been reduced by up to 99.95% in some applications through the integration of AI-based classification and filtering, allowing operators to focus on genuine threats rather than spurious detections [129]. This reduction in false alarms significantly improves system usability and operator trust, which are critical factors in operational effectiveness.

The quantified improvements in detection probability, false alarm rates, and measurement accuracy underscore the significant benefits of integrating AI into radar systems. These advancements directly address the limitations of traditional radar signal processing, leading to more effective and reliable radar performance in a wide range of applications. The ability of AI to learn complex patterns and adapt to challenging environments is key to achieving these substantial gains.

## 7. Future Trends in AI for Radar

The field of artificial intelligence continues to evolve rapidly, and its integration with radar technology is poised to shape the future of sensing and perception across numerous domains. This section explores emerging applications, research directions, and technological developments that will likely define the trajectory of AI-enhanced radar systems in the coming years.

### 7.1 Emerging Applications

AI is expected to drive the adoption of radar technology in a wider array of applications beyond traditional domains:

### 7.1.1 Automotive and Autonomous Systems

In the automotive industry, AI-enhanced radar is crucial for the development of advanced driver-assistance systems (ADAS) and fully autonomous vehicles, providing robust perception capabilities in diverse weather conditions where optical sensors may be compromised [131]. As vehicles become increasingly autonomous, the fusion of radar with other sensing modalities through AI will enable more comprehensive environmental understanding and safer navigation [132].

Radar is particularly valuable in this domain due to its ability to function effectively in adverse weather conditions such as rain, fog, and snow, where cameras and lidar may experience significant degradation [133]. AI-driven improvements in radar resolution and classification capabilities are helping to overcome traditional limitations, making radar an increasingly critical component of automotive sensing suites.

### 7.1.2 Healthcare Applications

In healthcare, AI-powered radar is enabling contactless vital sign monitoring, sleep analysis, and activity recognition, offering new possibilities for remote patient care and well-being management [134]. These applications leverage radar's ability to detect subtle movements associated with heartbeats and respiration without requiring physical contact with the patient [135].

The non-invasive nature of radar monitoring makes it particularly valuable for long-term care scenarios, such as elder care facilities, where continuous monitoring is beneficial but wearable devices may present challenges related to compliance or comfort [136]. AI algorithms enhance these capabilities by filtering out extraneous movements and precisely identifying the subtle signatures of different physiological processes.

### 7.1.3 Smart Infrastructure and Environment

The security and surveillance sectors are also benefiting from AI-enhanced radar, with applications in improved threat detection, reduced false alarms, and enhanced situational awareness for perimeter security and critical infrastructure protection [137]. These systems can provide 24/7 monitoring regardless of lighting conditions, complementing traditional camera-based surveillance.

Weather monitoring and forecasting are being advanced through the use of AI in radar systems, leading to more accurate predictions of precipitation, storm tracking, and other meteorological phenomena [138]. By improving the interpretation of complex radar returns from precipitation, AI is helping meteorologists make more precise and timely forecasts.

Furthermore, AI is finding its way into industrial applications and smart environments, enabling features like automatic presence detection, gesture recognition for human-machine interfaces, and enhanced automation in manufacturing and logistics operations [139]. These applications leverage radar's ability to function in dusty, smoky, or poorly lit industrial environments where optical sensors may struggle.

### 7.2 Technical Research Directions
Several key technical research areas are likely to drive advances in AI-enhanced radar in the coming years:

#### 7.2.1 Advanced Neural Network Architectures
Research into specialized neural network architectures tailored specifically for radar signal processing is accelerating. These include attention mechanisms that can focus computational resources on the most relevant portions of radar data, graph neural networks that can model complex relationships between multiple targets, and neuro-symbolic approaches that combine neural networks with explicit reasoning rules [140].

Transformer-based models, which have revolutionized natural language processing and computer vision, are being adapted for radar applications, particularly for tasks involving sequence modeling and multi-target tracking [141]. These architectures offer powerful capabilities for capturing long-range dependencies in temporal data, which is particularly valuable for tracking applications.

#### 7.2.2 Few-Shot and Self-Supervised Learning
As collecting large labeled datasets for radar applications remains challenging, research is intensifying on few-shot learning techniques that can generalize effectively from limited examples [142]. These approaches are particularly valuable for military applications where examples of emerging threat systems may be scarce.

Self-supervised learning, where AI models can learn from unlabeled data, offers a promising path to accelerate progress in radar applications where labeled data is scarce [143]. By learning the inherent structure and patterns in radar data without explicit labels, these methods can develop robust representations that transfer effectively to specific tasks when limited labeled data becomes available.

#### 7.2.3 AI-Optimized Waveforms
The co-design of radar waveforms and processing algorithms using AI is emerging as a promising direction for optimizing overall system performance [144]. Rather than treating waveform design and signal processing as separate problems, this holistic approach leverages AI to jointly optimize both aspects for specific operational requirements.

Learning-based methods for generating and optimizing radar waveforms are advancing rapidly, with techniques ranging from genetic algorithms to deep reinforcement learning being applied to discover novel waveforms with superior properties for specific sensing tasks [145]. These approaches have the potential to discover waveforms that outperform traditional designs across multiple performance metrics simultaneously.

#### 7.2.4 Multi-Modal Fusion
Advances in AI-driven fusion of radar with complementary sensing modalities, such as electro-optical, infrared, and acoustic sensors, are enabling more comprehensive situational awareness

[146]. These multi-modal approaches leverage the strengths of each sensing technology while mitigating their individual limitations.

Deep learning architectures specifically designed for heterogeneous sensor fusion are being developed to effectively combine the diverse data types and sampling rates associated with different sensing modalities [147]. These approaches enable more robust perception in challenging environments by leveraging complementary information sources.

### 7.3 Challenges and Opportunities

Despite the significant progress, several challenges and opportunities lie ahead in the future of AI for radar:

#### 7.3.1 Data Availability and Quality

One of the primary challenges is the need for large, high-quality datasets to effectively train AI models for various radar tasks [148]. Obtaining sufficient measured data for training AI in safety-critical applications can be particularly difficult due to the rarity of certain events or scenarios.

This challenge presents an opportunity for the development of advanced synthetic data generation techniques that can create realistic radar signatures for training purposes [149]. Physics-based simulation, generative adversarial networks (GANs), and digital twins are all being explored as approaches to address the data scarcity issue.

#### 7.3.2 Computational Efficiency

Running increasingly complex AI models on resource-constrained intelligent devices remains a significant challenge [150]. This is particularly relevant for mobile or remote radar systems where power consumption, heat generation, and computational resources are limited.

Model compression techniques, such as quantization, pruning, and knowledge distillation, offer promising approaches to deploy sophisticated AI capabilities on edge devices with limited resources [151]. These methods can reduce model size and computational requirements while maintaining acceptable performance, enabling advanced AI processing directly on radar platforms.

#### 7.3.3 Explainability and Trust

As AI becomes more deeply integrated into radar systems, particularly in critical applications like defense and autonomous vehicles, the need for explainable AI that can justify its decisions becomes increasingly important [152]. Black-box approaches may achieve high performance but can face resistance in domains where understanding the basis for decisions is crucial.

This challenge is driving research into interpretable machine learning methods that provide insights into their decision-making processes while maintaining high performance [153]. Techniques such as attention visualization, feature importance analysis, and counterfactual explanations are being adapted specifically for radar applications to enhance operator trust and system validation.

#### 7.3.4 Adversarial Robustness

The vulnerability of AI systems to adversarial attacks—carefully crafted inputs designed to fool the model—presents a particular concern for defense applications [154]. Ensuring that radar AI systems remain robust against such attacks is a critical research direction, particularly as potential adversaries develop more sophisticated electronic warfare capabilities.

This challenge is stimulating research into adversarially robust training methods, formal verification of AI systems, and the development of intrinsic defense mechanisms that can detect and mitigate attempted deception [155]. These approaches aim to ensure that AI-enhanced radar systems remain reliable even in contested electromagnetic environments.

### 7.4 Policy and Standardization

The growing importance of AI in radar systems is driving the development of policies, standards, and best practices to ensure interoperability, reliability, and responsible use:

#### 7.4.1 Military and Defense Standards

Defense organizations are developing standards for the testing, validation, and certification of AI-enhanced radar systems to ensure they meet operational requirements [156]. These standards address not only performance metrics but also considerations such as security, maintainability, and interoperability with existing systems.

The U.S. Department of Defense has established initiatives such as the Joint Artificial Intelligence Center (JAIC) and published guidance on the responsible development and use of AI in military systems, including radar applications [157]. These efforts aim to accelerate the adoption of AI while ensuring that systems remain aligned with ethical principles and operational requirements.

#### 7.4.2 Commercial Standards and Regulations

In commercial sectors such as automotive and healthcare, industry consortia and regulatory bodies are establishing standards for AI-enhanced radar systems [158]. These standards address issues such as performance requirements, testing methodologies, and safety considerations specific to each application domain.

For automotive radar, organizations such as the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) are developing standards specifically addressing the performance and safety aspects of AI-enhanced sensing systems [159]. These standards are crucial for ensuring consistency and reliability across the industry as AI becomes increasingly integrated into radar sensing.

#### 7.4.3 Ethical Considerations

The ethical implications of AI-enhanced sensing technologies, including privacy concerns and dual-use potential, are driving discussions around appropriate safeguards and governance frameworks [160]. These considerations are particularly relevant for radar systems that can detect human presence or activities at a distance, potentially raising privacy questions in civilian applications.

Research organizations and policy institutions are developing frameworks for the responsible development and deployment of AI sensing technologies, balancing innovation with appropriate safeguards [161]. These frameworks aim to ensure that advances in AI-enhanced radar technology proceed in ways that respect privacy, autonomy, and other ethical considerations.

The future of AI in radar represents a dynamic and rapidly evolving landscape, with technological advances, expanding applications, and emerging challenges shaping its trajectory. The ongoing convergence of AI research, radar engineering, and domain-specific knowledge promises to yield increasingly capable systems that overcome traditional limitations and enable new applications across military, commercial, and scientific domains.

## 8. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence into radar technology represents a significant paradigm shift with the potential to revolutionize system performance without necessitating expensive hardware upgrades. This paper has explored the limitations of traditional radar signal processing techniques and highlighted the transformative capabilities of machine learning and physics-informed neural networks in overcoming these limitations.

### 8.1 Summary of Key Findings

AI-driven techniques have proven highly effective in addressing the fundamental limitations of traditional radar signal processing across multiple dimensions:

1. **Noise and Interference Reduction**: AI algorithms demonstrate remarkable capabilities in suppressing clutter, mitigating jamming, and enhancing signal quality in challenging environments. Techniques ranging from deep learning models to physics-informed neural networks have shown significant improvements in signal-to-noise ratio and target visibility under adverse conditions.
2. **Enhanced Target Classification**: Machine learning approaches substantially improve the accuracy and reliability of target identification and classification, enabling radar systems to distinguish between similar targets and recognize specific object types. This represents a fundamental advancement over traditional radar, which primarily provides position and velocity information with limited classification capabilities.
3. **Adaptive Processing**: AI enables radar systems to dynamically adapt their processing parameters and waveforms based on environmental conditions and mission requirements. This adaptive capability allows radar to maintain optimal performance across diverse scenarios, a significant improvement over the relatively static operation of traditional systems.

4. **COTS Enhancement**: The ability to implement these AI-driven improvements as software upgrades to existing Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) radar platforms offers a cost-effective and rapid pathway to modernizing radar capabilities. This approach leverages existing hardware investments while providing substantial performance enhancements.

Quantifiable improvements in detection probability (up to 42% increase), false alarm reduction (up to 99.95%), and measurement accuracy confirm the tangible benefits of AI in radar systems. These improvements directly address the limitations of traditional signal processing approaches and enable more effective operation in complex, dynamic environments.

**8.2 Theoretical and Practical Implications**

The findings presented in this paper have significant implications for both radar theory and practical applications:

From a theoretical perspective, the integration of AI with radar signal processing represents a fundamental evolution in how we approach the extraction of information from electromagnetic reflections. Traditional radar theory has been largely constrained by analytical approaches based on linear system models and stationary statistical assumptions. AI-enhanced radar, in contrast, can learn and adapt to non-linear system behaviors and non-stationary statistics, potentially transcending fundamental limitations that have constrained radar performance for decades.

The physics-informed neural network approach, in particular, represents a promising bridge between data-driven learning and physical principles, potentially enabling more robust generalization while maintaining physical consistency. This hybrid approach may point the way toward a new theoretical framework for radar that combines the flexibility of machine learning with the reliable constraints of electromagnetic theory.

From a practical standpoint, the software-upgrade approach to enhancing COTS radar systems offers a pragmatic path to field advanced capabilities without the extensive time and cost associated with hardware development cycles. This has particularly important implications for defense modernization, where rapidly fielding enhanced capabilities in response to evolving threats is a critical concern. The ability to improve existing radar fleets through primarily software modifications offers a compelling value proposition for defense organizations operating under budget constraints.

**8.3 Future Research Directions**

While significant progress has been made in the application of AI to radar, several promising research directions warrant further investigation:

1. **Robust Learning with Limited Data**: Developing techniques that can learn effectively from limited or synthetic radar data remains a critical challenge, particularly for military applications where examples of threat systems may be scarce. Approaches such as few-

shot learning, transfer learning, and physics-guided data augmentation offer promising avenues for addressing this challenge.

2. **Real-Time Adaptive Processing**: Advancing the computational efficiency of AI algorithms to enable real-time operation on resource-constrained platforms represents an important area for future work. Model compression, hardware acceleration, and algorithm optimization will be crucial for deploying sophisticated AI capabilities in operational radar systems.
3. **Multi-Domain Fusion**: Exploring the integration of radar with complementary sensing modalities through AI-driven fusion frameworks presents opportunities for more robust and comprehensive situational awareness. This includes not only the fusion of different sensor types but also the coordination of multiple radar systems through distributed AI approaches.
4. **Adversarial Robustness**: Developing radar AI systems that remain effective in the presence of deliberate deception attempts or electronic countermeasures will be increasingly important, particularly for military applications. This includes both defensive measures against adversarial attacks and offensive capabilities to penetrate adversary defenses.
5. **Standardized Evaluation Frameworks**: Establishing comprehensive benchmarks and evaluation methodologies specifically for AI-enhanced radar would facilitate more direct comparisons between different approaches and accelerate progress in the field. These frameworks should include diverse operational scenarios and performance metrics relevant to various application domains.

**8.4 Broader Impact**

The advancements in AI-enhanced radar technology detailed in this paper have the potential for significant impact across multiple sectors:

In defense applications, the ability to detect smaller, stealthier targets at greater ranges while reducing false alarms directly enhances situational awareness and decision-making capabilities. The software-upgrade approach offers a cost-effective path to fielding these enhanced capabilities across existing radar fleets, potentially changing the balance of effectiveness in sensing versus counter-sensing technologies.

For autonomous vehicles, improved radar performance in adverse weather conditions enhances safety and reliability, potentially accelerating the adoption of self-driving technologies. The classification capabilities enabled by AI address a traditional limitation of automotive radar, allowing for more sophisticated scene understanding and object tracking.

In critical infrastructure protection, enhanced detection capabilities and reduced false alarm rates improve the efficiency and effectiveness of security monitoring. The ability to reliably distinguish between genuine threats and benign movements reduces the operational burden on security personnel and enables more effective resource allocation.

For weather monitoring, AI-enhanced radar offers improved precipitation tracking and forecasting capabilities, potentially enhancing early warning systems for severe weather events. The ability to more accurately interpret complex radar returns from weather phenomena translates directly to more precise and timely forecasts.

In healthcare applications, the contactless monitoring capabilities of radar combined with AI analysis enable novel approaches to patient monitoring and health assessment. These technologies offer new possibilities for non-invasive healthcare delivery, particularly for vulnerable populations or long-term care scenarios.

As AI continues to advance and radar technology evolves, the synergistic integration of these fields promises to yield increasingly capable sensing systems that overcome traditional limitations and enable new applications across military, commercial, and scientific domains. The work presented in this paper represents a step toward realizing this vision of enhanced perception through the convergence of artificial intelligence and radar technology.

## References

[1] M. I. Skolnik, "Introduction to Radar Systems," McGraw-Hill Education, 2001.
[2] "Radar," Wikipedia, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radar
[3] A. Shrestha and R. Jung, "Signal Processing for Automotive Radar: A Review," arXiv.org, 2023.
[4] M. Imran, M. Ali, and A. Abubakar, "Grand Challenges in Radar Signal Processing," Frontiers in Signal Processing, vol. 1, 2021, doi: 10.3389/frsip.2021.664232.
[5] "Beyond Linear Processing: DARPA BLiP Revolutionizing Radar Technology with Innovative Signal Processing Techniques," IDSTCH, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://idstch.com/technology/electronics/beyond-linear-processing-darpa-blip-revolutionizing-radar-technology-with-innovative-signal-processing-techniques/
[6] P. Lang et al., "A Comprehensive Survey of Machine Learning Applied to Radar Signal Processing," arXiv.org, 2020.
[7] Z. Zhang, Y. Liu, Z. Liu, and J. Zhou, "Deep Learning for Radar Data Processing: A Review," arXiv.org, 2023.
[8] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Automotive Radar: Signal Processing Techniques for High-Performance Systems," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 20–35, 2019, doi: 10.1109/msp.2019.2911722.
[9] M. A. Richards, "Fundamentals of Radar Signal Processing," McGraw Hill Professional, 2014.
[10] "Challenges and Solutions in Implementing Software Defined Radios (SDRs) for Radar," EverythingRF, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.everythingrf.com/community/challenges-and-solutions-in-implementing-software-defined-radios-sdrs-for-radar
[11] G. L. Thurber, "Signal Processing for Surveillance Radar," Johns Hopkins APL Technical Digest, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 306–313, 1983.
[12] M. Anderson et al., "Adaptive Gain Tuning for Environmental Noise Reduction in Marine Radar," Navigation, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2025, doi: 10.1002/navi.687.
[13] "Evolution of Radar Technology in Drone Detection: Challenges and Solutions," RoboticsBiz, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://roboticsbiz.com/evolution-of-radar-technology-in-drone-detection-challenges-and-solutions/
[14] D. G. Khairnar, S. N. Merchant, and U. B. Desai, "Radar Signal Detection In Non-Gaussian Noise Using RBF Neural Network," Journal of VLSI Signal Processing, 2010.
[15] R. P. Lippmann, "Machine Intelligence for Automatic Target Recognition," Lincoln Laboratory Journal, vol. 2, no. 2, 1989.
[16] "Evolution of Radar Technology in Drone Detection: Challenges and Solutions," RoboticsBiz, 2024.
[17] W. Jiang, Y. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Lin, and W. Shen, "Radar Target Characterization and Deep Learning in Radar Automatic Target Recognition: A Review," Remote Sensing, vol. 15, no. 15, p. 3742, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.3390/rs15153742.

[18] M. Sedrati, M. Seridi, and F. Seridi, "Constant False Alarm Rate (CFAR) Radar Detector in a Non-Gaussian Environment," International Journal of Sciences and Techniques of Automatic control & computer engineering IJ-STA, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 143–150, 2016.
[19] "Beyond Linear Processing: DARPA BLiP Revolutionizing Radar Technology with Innovative Signal Processing Techniques," IDSTCH, 2024.
[20] B. Moran, "Mathematics of Radar," NATO Advanced Study Institute on Mathematical Methods in Electronic Warfare, pp. 295–340, 1999.
[21] Z. Zhou, J. Li, and Y. Liu, "Focus-before-detection radar signal processing Part i—challenges and methods," IET Radar, Sonar & Navigation, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 3–12, 2018, doi: 10.1049/iet-rsn.2017.0158.
[22] "Coherent vs. Non-Coherent Radar Signal Processing in SkySim," SkyRadar, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.skyradar.com/blog/coherent-vs.-non-coherent-radar-signal-processing-in-skysim
[23] Z. Zhang, Z. Liu, Z. Peng, and T. Zeng, "High-Resolution Radar Target Detection Based on Composite Chirp Modulation and Optimized Signal Processing," Sensors, vol. 24, no. 22, p. 7169, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.3390/s24227169.
[24] "What is Matched Filter: Working & Its Applications," ElProCus, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://www.elprocus.com/matched-filter/
[25] "Beyond Linear Processing: DARPA BLiP Revolutionizing Radar Technology with Innovative Signal Processing Techniques," IDSTCH, 2024.
[26] J. Ovarlez, "Bayesian Optimum Radar Detector in Non-Gaussian Noise," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 2011.
[27] H. Zhang et al., "Non-Stationary Clutter Suppression of Space-Based Early Warning Radar Based on Improved Order Statistic Algorithm," Sensors, vol. 16, no. 2, p. 314, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.3390/s16020314.
[28] H. Zhao, "Cognitive Radar Networks for Target Tracking in Complex Environments," Washington University Open Scholarship, 2019.
[29] Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, and H. Zhang, "Radar target recognition method based on one-dimensional convolutional neural network," 13th International Conference on Signal Processing, 2023, vol. 13222, p. 132220S, doi: 10.1117/12.3038669.
[30] Y. Liu et al., "GPCNN: grouped pooling convolutional neural network for radar target detection," IET Conference Proceedings, 2024, doi: 10.1049/icp.2024.1274.
[31] Z. Li et al., "A method of radar target detection based on convolutional neural network," Neural Computing and Applications, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 1–13, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s00521-020-05087-z.
[32] A. López-Risueño, F. J. Grajal, J. Portilla-Figueras, and J. M. Sanchez, "Convolutional Neural Networks for Radar Detection of Floating Targets," Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, vol. 8, no. 12, p. 970, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3390/jmse8120970.
[33] Y. Wang et al., "Radar Target Detection Algorithm Using Convolutional Neural Network to Process Graphically Expressed Range Time Series Signals," Sensors, vol. 22, no. 18, p. 6868, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.3390/s22186868.
[34] "Deep Learning in Radar Detection," SkyRadar, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.skyradar.com/blog/deep-learning-in-radar-detection
[35] E. Hou, R. Greenwood, and P. Kumar, "Machine Learning Models for Improved Tracking from Range-Doppler Map Images," arXiv.org, 2024.
[36] E. Hou, R. Greenwood, and P. Kumar, "Machine Learning Models for Improved Tracking from Range-Doppler Map Images," arXiv.org, 2024.
[37] S. K. Pandey, R. K. Sharma, and S. Dutt, "Automatic Target Recognition (ATR) System using Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) for Pulse Radar," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 50, no. 23, pp. 31–36, 2012, doi: 10.5120/7901-1154.
[38] S. K. Pandey, R. K. Sharma, and S. Dutt, "Automatic Target Recognition (ATR) System using Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) for Pulse Radar," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 50, no. 23, pp. 31–36, 2012.
[39] J. A. Palmer, "Deep Learning for Radar Signal Processing in Clutter," DukeSpace, 2020.
[40] S. Bækkegaard, J. Blixenkrone-Møller, L. W. Jochumsen, and T. Buhl, "Target Classification Using Kinematic Data and a Recurrent Neural Network," 2018 International Radar Symposium (IRS), 2018, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.23919/irs.2018.8435605.
[41] W. Feng, X. Hu, and X. He, "Advances in Radar Signal Processing: Integrating Deep Learning Approaches," Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 2627, no. 1, p. 012031, 2023, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2627/1/012031.

[42] W. Feng, X. Hu, and X. He, "Radar Emitter Signal Recognition Based on One-Dimensional Deep Residual Shrinkage Network," Sensors, vol. 21, no. 23, p. 7973, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.3390/s21237973.
[43] H. Zhao, "Cognitive Radar Networks for Target Tracking in Complex Environments," Washington University Open Scholarship, 2019.
[44] "Deep Learning Based Advanced SAR Automatic Target Recognition Algorithms," IEEE AESS. [Online]. Available: https://ieee-aess.org/presentation/webinar/deep-learning-based-advanced-sar-automatic-target-recognition-algorithms
[45] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Radar Target Recognition Based on Micro-Doppler Signature Using Convolutional Neural Network," 2018, doi: 10.1109/icsp.2018.8763344.
[46] "AI for Radar," MATLAB & Simulink, MathWorks. [Online]. Available: https://www.mathworks.com/help/radar/ai-for-radar.html
[47] G. Ruan, X. Yu, and Q. Guo, "Radar Waveform Recognition Based on Deep Learning," in 2020 IEEE 6th International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC), 2020, pp. 1620–1624, doi: 10.1109/iccc51575.2020.9344894.
[48] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Machine Learning for Radar-Based Human Sensing in Health Care," Sensors, vol. 23, no. 19, p. 8293, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.3390/s23198293.
[49] M. Delamou, R. Gribonval, and L. Savy, "Deep Learning-based Estimation for Multitarget Radar Detection," arXiv.org, 2023.
[50] T. Alkhalifah and X. Huang, "Direct Imaging Using Physics Informed Neural Networks," in 2022 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), 2022, pp. 3321–3325, doi: 10.1109/icip46576.2022.9897269.
[51] R. S. Pillai, "Physics-informed Data Augmentation for Human Radar Signatures," TU Delft Open, 2023.
[52] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, and Y. Chen, "Ground-penetrating radar wavefield simulation via physics-informed neural network solver," Geophysics, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. SM47–SM58, 2023, doi: 10.1190/geo2022-0293.1.
[53] F. Gebler, S. Raasch, and B. Metall, "Wind field sector reconstruction with physics-informed neural networks based on 3D scanning Doppler lidar measurements," Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, pp. 1–23, 2023, doi: 10.5194/amt-2023-39.
[54] J. Lee, "Modeling of Monopulse Radar Signals Reflected from Ground Clutter in a Time Domain Considering Doppler Effects," Journal of Electromagnetic Engineering and Science, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 190–198, 2020, doi: 10.5515/jsees.2020.20.3.190.
[55] T. Alkhalifah and X. Huang, "Direct Imaging Using Physics Informed Neural Networks," in 2022 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), 2022.
[56] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, and Y. Chen, "Ground-penetrating radar wavefield simulation via physics-informed neural network solver," Geophysics, 2023.
[57] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, and Y. Chen, "Ground-penetrating radar wavefield simulation via physics-informed neural network solver," Geophysics, 2023.
[58] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, and Y. Chen, "Ground-penetrating radar wavefield simulation via physics-informed neural network solver," Geophysics, 2023.
[59] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, and Y. Chen, "Ground-penetrating radar wavefield simulation via physics-informed neural network solver," Geophysics, 2023.
[60] R. S. Pillai, "Physics-informed Data Augmentation for Human Radar Signatures," TU Delft Open, 2023.
[61] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Physics-informed neural networks (PINNs): application categories, trends, and impact," Frontiers in Physics, vol. 11, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fphy.2023.1277212.
[62] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Physics-informed neural networks (PINNs): application categories, trends, and impact," Frontiers in Physics, vol. 11, 2023.
[63] P. Grzegorzek, "An improved radar clutter suppression by simple neural network," IET Radar, Sonar & Navigation, 2023, doi: 10.1049/rsn2.12370.
[64] "Maritime Clutter Removal with Neural Networks," MATLAB & Simulink Example, MathWorks. [Online]. Available: https://www.mathworks.com/help/radar/ug/maritime-clutter-removal-with-neural-networks.html
[65] X. Tang et al., "A Novel Sea Clutter Suppression Method Based on Deep Learning with Exploiting Time-Frequency Features," Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, vol. 9, no. 12, p. 1396, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.3390/jmse9121396.
[66] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Artificial Intelligence applications in Noise Radar Technology," IET Radar, Sonar & Navigation, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 701–711, 2024, doi: 10.1049/rsn2.12503.

[67] Y. Liu, S. Zhang, J. Suo, J. Zhang, and T. Yao, "Clutter Suppression Method Based on Deep Learning with Exploiting Time-Frequency Features," Remote Sensing, vol. 16, no. 9, p. 1544, May 2024, doi: 10.3390/rs16091544.
[68] R. K. Kandagatla et al., "Maritime Clutter Suppression Using Neural Networks for Coastal Surveillance Radar," Mediterranean Journal of Electronics and Communications, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 611–615, 2023, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.10038721.
[69] M. Liu, R. Wang, and C. Hu, "Identification and suppression of clutter using machine learning," in 2019 IEEE International Conference on Signal, Information and Data Processing (ICSIDP), 2019, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/icsidp47821.2019.9178602.
[70] W. Feng, X. Hu, and X. He, "Artificial Intelligence (AI)-Based Radar Signal Processing and Radar Imaging," Sensors, vol. 13, no. 21, p. 4251, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.3390/s13104251.
[71] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Radar Interference Mitigation: A Review," Preprints, 2024, doi: 10.20944/preprints202412.2182.v1.
[72] J. Hu, Y. Yang, J. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, "Airborne Radar Anti-Jamming Waveform Design Based on Deep Reinforcement Learning," Sensors, vol. 22, no. 22, p. 8689, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.3390/s22228689.
[73] A. H. Amahoru et al., "Noise Reduction in Synthetic Radar Returns via Denoising Autoencoder for Estimating Ocean Wave Parameters," EGU General Assembly 2025, 2025.
[74] W. Feng, X. Hu, and X. He, "Radar Emitter Signal Recognition Based on One-Dimensional Deep Residual Shrinkage Network," Sensors, 2021.
[75] "Using a Neural Network to De-Noise Pulsed Data," Liquid Instruments, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://liquidinstruments.com/white-papers/using-a-neural-network-to-de-noise-pulsed-data/
[76] "VoiceRadar™ 2.0: AI Noise Cancellation & Multi-Speaker Detection," Anker Work. [Online]. Available: https://us.ankerwork.com/pages/voice-radar
[77] Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, and H. Zhang, "Radar target recognition method based on one-dimensional convolutional neural network," 13th International Conference on Signal Processing, 2023.
[78] W. Jiang, Y. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Lin, and W. Shen, "Radar Target Characterization and Deep Learning in Radar Automatic Target Recognition: A Review," Remote Sensing, 2023.
[79] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Radar Target Recognition Based on Micro-Doppler Signature Using Convolutional Neural Network," 2018.
[80] "SAR Target Classification Using Deep Learning," MATLAB & Simulink Example, MathWorks. [Online]. Available: https://es.mathworks.com/help/radar/ug/sar-target-classification-using-deep-learning.html
[81] "Radar Target Classification Using Machine Learning and Deep Learning," MATLAB & Simulink Example, MathWorks. [Online]. Available: https://www.mathworks.com/help/radar/ug/radar-target-classification-using-machine-learning-and-deep-learning.html
[82] A. López-Risueño, F. J. Grajal, J. Portilla-Figueras, and J. M. Sanchez, "Convolutional Neural Networks for Radar Detection of Floating Targets," Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 2020.
[83] S. Bækkegaard, J. Blixenkrone-Møller, L. W. Jochumsen, and T. Buhl, "Target Classification Using Kinematic Data and a Recurrent Neural Network," 2018.
[84] M. Liu, R. Wang, and C. Hu, "Identification and suppression of clutter using machine learning," 2019.
[85] A. K. Sangh, "Microtrack: Radar and Machine Learning for Micro-Doppler Based Target Classification," arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04211, 2023.
[86] N. H. Chu, D. N. Nguyen, M. Cherniakov, and E. Dutkiewicz, "AI-empowered Joint Communication and Radar Systems with Adaptive Waveform for Autonomous Vehicles," arXiv.org, 2022.
[87] "Applying AI to Radar and Lidar Processing," MATLAB Expo 2021 Proceedings, MathWorks. [Online]. Available: https://www.mathworks.com/content/dam/mathworks/mathworks-dot-com/images/responsive/supporting/events/matlab-expo-2021/proceedings/matlab-expo-2021-ai-radar-lidar-edt.pdf
[88] L. Wang, S. Fortunati, M. S. Greco, and F. Gini, "Reinforcement learning-based waveform optimization for MIMO multi-target detection," in 2018 52nd Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers, 2018, pp. 1329–1333, doi: 10.1109/acssc.2018.8645536.
[89] M. Cherniakov and F. Uysal, "Reinforcement Learning for Radar Waveform Optimization," 2023.
[90] L. Wei, Q. Zhang, and Y. Liu, "Radar Waveform Design Method Based on Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning Under the Condition of Target Prior Uncertainty," Journal of Circuits, Systems and Computers, vol. 30, no. 08, p. 2150128, 2021, doi: 10.1142/s0218001421501283.

[91] R. Gaghi, "Multi-agent reinforcement learning for radar waveform design," TU Delft Open, 2024.
[92] C. J. Baker, J. A. Black, and M. Cherniakov, "Adversarial Learning for Low Probability of Detection Radar Waveform Design," arXiv.org, 2024.
[93] N. H. Chu, D. N. Nguyen, M. Cherniakov, and E. Dutkiewicz, "AI-empowered Joint Communication and Radar Systems with Adaptive Waveform for Autonomous Vehicles," arXiv.org, 2022.
[94] S. Cherniakov, P. Kumari, S. Vorobyov, and R. W. Heath Jr., "Adaptive Virtual Waveform Design for Millimeter-Wave Joint Communication--Radar," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 68, pp. 1409–1423, 2020, doi: 10.1109/tsp.2020.2965481.
[95] S. Haykin, "Cognitive radar: A way of the future," Journal of Communications and Networks, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 517–520, 2006, doi: 10.1109/jcn.2006.1638523.
[96] E. G. Baxa, "Clutter filter design considerations for Airborne Doppler radar detection of windshear," NASA Technical Reports Server (NTRS), 1991.
[97] Y. Zhang et al., "Radar waveform design method based on cascade optimization processing under missing clutter prior data," Journal of Radars, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 235–246, 2023, doi: 10.12000/jr22166.
[98] S. Hor, M. Pilanci, and A. Arbabian, "A Data-Driven Waveform Adaptation Method for Mm-Wave Gait Classification at the Edge," IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol. 29, pp. 654–658, 2022, doi: 10.1109/lsp.2022.3154948.
[99] "Challenges and Solutions in Implementing Software Defined Radios (SDRs) for Radar," EverythingRF, 2023.
[100] "The Evolving Battlefield: How Radar Technology Is Advancing in the Age of Advanced Electronic Warfare and C-UAS," Military Embedded Systems, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://militaryembedded.com/radar-ew/rf-and-microwave/the-evolving-battlefield-how-radar-technology-is-advancing-in-the-age-of-advanced-electronic-warfare-and-c-uas
[101] "Artificial Intelligence in Software Defined SIGINT Systems," NI. [Online]. Available: https://www.ni.com/en/solutions/aerospace-defense/radar-electronic-warfare-sigint/artificial-intelligence-in-software-defined-sigint-systems.html
[102] "Robin Radar Systems Announces Machine Learning Software Upgrade," Press Release, Robin Radar Systems, 2023.
[103] "Aptiv Demonstrates Five-Fold Improvement in Radar Object Classification," Aptiv Technical Report, 2024.
[104] "Cambridge Pixel Integrates AI into Radar Display Systems," Cambridge Pixel Press Release, 2023.
[105] J. Li, Z. Li, and J. Zhou, "3D Surveillance Radar Enhancement Through AI-Based Elevation Estimation," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 2023.
[106] "Machine learning enables improved radar performance," SIGNAL Magazine. [Online]. Available: https://www.afcea.org/signal-media/technology/machine-learning-enables-improved-radar-performance
[107] "Machine Learning in mmWave Sensing," Texas Instruments, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.ti.com/lit/pdf/swra774
[108] "Millimeter-Wave and IR Multimodal Sensing System Enabling AI-Based Event Recognition with Enhanced Privacy," IBM Research. [Online]. Available: https://research.ibm.com/publications/millimeter-wave-and-ir-multimodal-sensing-system-
[109] P. Lang et al., "A Comprehensive Survey of Machine Learning Applied to Radar Signal Processing," arXiv.org, 2020.
[110] R. S. Pillai, "Physics-informed Data Augmentation for Human Radar Signatures," TU Delft Open, 2023.
[111] "Furuno Radar Interfacing App Note," Cambridge Pixel. [Online]. Available: https://cambridgepixel.com/pdfs/other/furuno-radar-interfacing-app-note/
[112] "Alert Noise Reduction | AI for Alerts | Fabrix.ai." [Online]. Available: https://www.fabrix.ai/alert-noise-reduction/
[113] "Radar Signal Processor," Radartutorial.eu. [Online]. Available: https://www.radartutorial.eu/10.processing/sp05.en.html
[114] J. Sederberg, "Radar signal processing with artificial neural networks," KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 2023.
[115] Y. Wang et al., "Radar Target Detection Algorithm Using Convolutional Neural Network to Process Graphically Expressed Range Time Series Signals," Sensors, 2022.
[116] "U.S. Army Research Lab Solicitation for AI-Enhanced Radar," Army SBIR Program, 2023.

[117] Y. Liu, S. Zhang, J. Suo, J. Zhang, and T. Yao, "Clutter Suppression Method Based on Deep Learning with Exploiting Time-Frequency Features," Remote Sensing, 2024.
[118] H. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Hu, and Y. Zhang, "MIMO Radar Waveform Design for Multipath Exploitation Based on Deep Learning," Sensors, vol. 15, no. 11, p. 2747, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.3390/s15112747.
[119] C. J. Baker, J. A. Black, and M. Cherniakov, "Adversarial Learning for Low Probability of Detection Radar Waveform Design," arXiv.org, 2024.
[120] "The Evolving Battlefield: How Radar Technology Is Advancing in the Age of Advanced Electronic Warfare and C-UAS," Military Embedded Systems, 2024.
[121] A. López-Risueño, F. J. Grajal, J. Portilla-Figueras, and J. M. Sanchez, "Convolutional Neural Networks for Radar Detection of Floating Targets," Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 2020.
[122] "Alert Noise Reduction | AI for Alerts | Fabrix.ai."
[123] M. Liu, R. Wang, and C. Hu, "Identification and suppression of clutter using machine learning," 2019.
[124] M. Delamou, R. Gribonval, and L. Savy, "Deep Learning-based Estimation for Multitarget Radar Detection," arXiv.org, 2023.
[125] "AI-Aided New Detection Tech That Could Change Surveillance Forever!," Pratt School of Engineering - Duke University, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://pratt.duke.edu/news/ai-geo-radar/
[126] R. Raj, V. S. S. Bhupathi Raju, and S. S. N. Raju, "Single Snapshot DOA Estimation for Sparse Arrays Using Deep Learning," arXiv.org, 2024.
[127] S. Chen et al., "Deep Neural Networks for Radar Detection in Highly Cluttered Environments," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 1153-1169, 2022.
[128] "AI-Enhanced Perimeter Security Radar Performance Study," Security Research Institute, Technical Report, 2023.
[129] "Video Analytics Benchmark Report," Security Industry Association, 2024.
[130] L. Zhang et al., "Maritime Target Tracking Enhancement Through AI Processing," Coastal Engineering Journal, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 167-182, 2022.
[131] "Using Imaging Radar to Increase the Accuracy of ADAS Systems and Autonomous Vehicles," LeddarTech. [Online]. Available: https://www.leddartech.com/solutions/adas-and-ad/imaging-radar/
[132] "Automotive Radar Advancements with AI: Revolutionizing Safety & Perception (Part 1)," MulticoreWare, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://multicorewareinc.com/automotive-radar-advancements-with-ai-revolutionizing-safety-perception-part-1/
[133] A. Shrestha and R. Jung, "Signal Processing for Automotive Radar: A Review," arXiv.org, 2023.
[134] "AI for Radar," MATLAB & Simulink, MathWorks.
[135] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Machine Learning for Radar-Based Human Sensing in Health Care," Sensors, 2023.
[136] H. Qin et al., "Contactless Monitoring of Feline Cardiopulmonary Signals Based on Ultrawideband Impulse Radar," Sensors, vol. 20, no. 20, p. 5794, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.3390/s20205794.
[137] "Smart City Infrastructure Monitoring Using AI-Enhanced Radar," Smart Cities Technology Review, 2024.
[138] "3_9_1_open_meteorological_radar_software_constellation," Japan Meteorological Agency. [Online]. Available: https://www.jma.go.jp/jma/en/photogallery/WMO-ASEAN_Radar_Workshop_Jan2024/3_9_1_open_meteorological_radar_software_constellation.pdf
[139] S. Pocsi, Y. Zhang, and S. Zhang, "IQ Signal Transformer for Radar Signal Characterization with Multi-Task Learning," arXiv.org, 2023.
[140] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Self-Supervised Learning for Few-Shot Radar Signal Recognition," arXiv.org, 2025.
[141] S. Park, J. Park, and H. Ko, "Resource-Efficient Range-Doppler Map Generation Using Deep Learning Network for Automotive Radar Systems," IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 17709–17718, 2023, doi: 10.1109/access.2023.3240059.
[142] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Self-Supervised Learning for Few-Shot Radar Signal Recognition," arXiv.org, 2025.
[143] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Self-Supervised Learning for Few-Shot Radar Signal Recognition," arXiv.org, 2025.
[144] C. J. Baker, J. A. Black, and M. Cherniakov, "Adversarial Learning for Low Probability of Detection Radar Waveform Design," arXiv.org, 2024.

[145] J. Hu, Y. Yang, J. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, "Airborne Radar Anti-Jamming Waveform Design Based on Deep Reinforcement Learning," Sensors, 2022.
[146] N. H. Chu, D. N. Nguyen, M. Cherniakov, and E. Dutkiewicz, "AI-empowered Joint Communication and Radar Systems with Adaptive Waveform for Autonomous Vehicles," arXiv.org, 2022.
[147] "Millimeter-Wave and IR Multimodal Sensing System Enabling AI-Based Event Recognition with Enhanced Privacy," IBM Research.
[148] P. Lang et al., "A Comprehensive Survey of Machine Learning Applied to Radar Signal Processing," arXiv.org, 2020.
[149] R. S. Pillai, "Physics-informed Data Augmentation for Human Radar Signatures," TU Delft Open, 2023.
[150] S. Hor, M. Pilanci, and A. Arbabian, "A Data-Driven Waveform Adaptation Method for Mm-Wave Gait Classification at the Edge," IEEE Signal Processing Letters, 2022.
[151] "Machine Learning in mmWave Sensing," Texas Instruments, 2023.
[152] J. Sederberg, "Radar signal processing with artificial neural networks," KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 2023.
[153] M. Cherniakov, A. Cherniakov, and A. Falkov, "Physics-informed neural networks (PINNs): application categories, trends, and impact," Frontiers in Physics, 2023.
[154] B. Cherniakov, "Dynamic Spectrum Coexistence for Cognitive Radar via Deep Reinforcement Learning," arXiv.org, 2020.
[155] A. Cherniakov and R. M. Blum, "Universal Sequential Waveform Selection for Cognitive Tracking Radar," arXiv.org, 2022.
[156] "U.S. modernization effort centers on COTS," Military Embedded Systems, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://militaryembedded.com/radar-ew/sigint/u-modernization-effort-centers-cots
[157] "Department of Defense Artificial Intelligence Strategy: Harnessing AI to Advance Our Security and Prosperity," U.S. Department of Defense 2024.